# Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve SEACAR Habitat Analyses

# Last compiled on 08 January, 2025

# Contents

Funding & Acknowledgements	2
Threshold Filtering	2
Value Qualifiers	3
Water Column	5
Seasonal Kendall-Tau Analysis	5
Water Quality - Discrete Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality Colored Dissolved Organic Matter - Discrete Water Quality Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete Water Quality Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Discrete Water Quality pH - Discrete Water Quality Salinity - Discrete Water Quality Secchi Depth - Discrete Water Quality Total Nitrogen - Discrete Water Quality Total Phosphorus - Discrete Water Quality Total Suspended Solids - Discrete Water Quality Turbidity - Discrete Water Quality Turbidity - Discrete Water Quality Secchi Depth - Discrete Water Quality Total Suspended Solids - Discrete Water Quality Turbidity - Discrete Water Quality Secchi Discrete Water Quality Turbidity - Discrete Water Quality	10 11 14 16 18 20 22 25 27 28
Water Quality - Continuous	34
Coastal Wetlands	11
Density	42 42 43 44

# Funding & Acknowledgements

The data used in this analysis is from the Export Standardized Tables in the SEACAR Data Discovery Interface (DDI). Documents and information available through the SEACAR DDI are owned by the data provider(s) and users are expected to provide appropriate credit following accepted citation formats. Users are encouraged to access data to maximize utilization of gained knowledge, reducing redundant research and facilitating partnerships and scientific innovation.

With respect to documents and information available from SEACAR DDI, neither the State of Florida nor the Florida Department of Environmental Protection makes any warranty, expressed or implied, including the warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose arising out of the use or inability to use the data, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

This report was funded in part, through a grant agreement from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Coastal Management Program, by a grant provided by the Office for Coastal Management under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The views, statements, findings, conclusions and recommendations expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the State of Florida, NOAA or any of their sub agencies.

**Published**: 2025-01-08







# Threshold Filtering

Threshold filters, following the guidance of Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (FDEP) Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration (DEAR) are used to exclude specific results values from the SEACAR Analysis. Based on the threshold filters, Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QAQC) Flags are inserted into the  $SEACAR\_QAQCFlagCode$  and  $SEACAR\_QAQC\_Description$  columns of the export data. The Include column indicates whether the QAQC Flag will also indicate that data are excluded from analysis. No data are excluded from the data export, but the analysis scripts can use the Include column to exclude data (1 to include, 0 to exclude).

Table 1: Continuous Water Quality threshold values

Parameter Name	Units	Low Threshold	High Threshold
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	-0.000001	50
Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	%	-0.000001	500
Salinity	$\operatorname{ppt}$	-0.000001	70
Turbidity	NTU	-0.000001	4000
Water Temperature	Degrees C	-5.000000	45
рН	None	2.000000	14

Table 2: Discrete Water Quality threshold values

Parameter Name	Units	Low Threshold	High Threshold
Ammonia, Un-ionized (NH3)	mg/L	-	-
Ammonium, Filtered (NH4)	mg/L	-	-
Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin	ug/L	-	-
Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin	ug/L	-	-
Colored Dissolved Organic Matter	PCU	-	-

Parameter Name	Units	Low Threshold	High Threshold
Dissolved Oxygen	$_{ m mg/L}$	-0.000001	25
Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	%	-0.000001	310
Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Matter	QSE	-	-
Light Extinction Coefficient	m^-1	-	-
NO2+3, Filtered	mg/L	-	-
Nitrate (NO3)	m mg/L	-	-
Nitrite (NO2)	mg/L	-	-
Nitrogen, organic	mg/L	-	-
Phosphate, Filtered (PO4)	mg/L	-	-
Salinity	$\operatorname{ppt}$	-0.000001	70
Secchi Depth	m	0.000001	50
Specific Conductivity	mS/cm	0.005000	100
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Nitrogen	m mg/L	-	-
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	-	-
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	-	-
Turbidity	NTU	-	-
Water Temperature	Degrees C	3.000000	40
pH	None	2.000000	13

Table 3: Quality Assurance Flags inserted based on threshold checks listed in Table 1 and 2  $\,$ 

SEACAR QAQC Description	Include	$SEACAR\ QAQCF lagCode$
Exceeds maximum threshold	0	2Q
Below minimum threshold	0	4Q
Within threshold tolerance	1	6Q
No defined thresholds for this parameter	1	7Q

# Value Qualifiers

Value qualifier codes included within the data are used to exclude certain results from the analysis. The data are retained in the data export files, but the analysis uses the *Include* column to filter the results.

#### STORET and WIN value qualifier codes

Value qualifier codes from *STORET* and *WIN* data are examined with the database and used to populate the *Include* column in data exports.

Table 4: Value Qualifier codes excluded from analysis

Qualifier Source	Value Qualifier	Include	MDL	Description
STORET-WIN	Н	0	0	Value based on field kit determination; results may not be accurate
STORET-WIN	J	0	0	Estimated value
STORET-WIN	V	0	0	Analyte was detected at or above method detection limit
STORET-WIN	Y	0	0	Lab analysis from an improperly preserved sample; data may be inaccurate

#### Discrete Water Quality Value Qualifiers

The following value qualifiers are highlighted in the Discrete Water Quality section of this report. An exception is made for **Program 476** - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network and data flagged with Value Qualifier **H** are included for this program only.

- **H** Value based on field kit determiniation; results may not be accurate. This code shall be used if a field screening test (e.g., field gas chromatograph data, immunoassay, or vendor-supplied field kit) was used to generate the value and the field kit or method has not been recognized by the Department as equivalent to laboratory methods.
- I The reported value is greater than or equal to the laboratory method detection limit but less than the laboratory practical quantitation limit.
- **Q** Sample held beyond the accepted holding time. This code shall be used if the value is derived from a sample that was prepared or analyzed after the approved holding time restrictions for sample preparation or analysis.
- ${f S}$  Secchi disk visible to bottom of waterbody. The value reported is the depth of the waterbody at the location of the Secchi disk measurement.
- U Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected. This symbol shall be used to indicate that the specified component was not detected. The value associated with the qualifier shall be the laboratory method detection limit. Unless requested by the client, less than the method detection limit values shall not be reported

#### Systemwide Monitoring Program (SWMP) value qualifier codes

Value qualifier codes from the SWMP continuous program are examined with the database and used to populate the Include column in data exports. SWMP Qualifier Codes are indicated by QualifierSource=SWMP.

Table 5: SWMP Value Qualifier codes

Qualifier Source	Value Qualifier	Include	Description
SWMP	-1	Yes	Optional parameter not collected
SWMP	-2	No	Missing data
SWMP	-3	No	Data rejected due to QA/QC
SWMP	-4	No	Outside low sensor range
SWMP	-5	No	Outside high sensor range
SWMP	0	Yes	Passed initial QA/QC checks
SWMP	1	No	Suspect data
SWMP	2	Yes	Reserved for future use
SWMP	3	Yes	Calculated data: non-vented depth/level sensor correction for changes in barometric pressure
SWMP	4	Yes	Historical: Pre-auto QA/QC
SWMP	5	Yes	Corrected data

#### Water Column

The water column habitat extends from the water's surface to the bottom sediments, and it's where fish, dolphins, crabs and people swim! So much life makes its home in the water column that the health of marine and coastal ecosystems, as well as human economies, depend on the condition of this vulnerable habitat. Local patterns of rainfall, temperature, winds and currents can rapidly change the condition of the water column, while global influences such as El Niño/La Niña, large-scale fluctuation in sea temperatures and climate change can have long-term effects. Inputs from the prosperity of our day-to-day lives including farming, mining and forestry, and emissions from power generation, automobiles and water treatment can also alter the health of the water column. Acting alone or together, each input can have complex and lasting effects on habitats and ecosystems.

SEACAR evaluates water column health with several essential parameters. These include nutrient surveys of nitrogen and phosphorus, andwater quality assessments of salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH, and water temperature. Water clarity is evaluated with Secchi depth, turbidity, levels of chlorophyll a, total suspended solids, and colored dissolved organic matter. Additionally, the richness of nekton is indicated by the abundance of free-swimming fishes and macroinvertebrates like crabs and shrimps.

# Seasonal Kendall-Tau Analysis

Indicators must have a minimum of five to ten years, depending on the habitat, of data within the geographic range of the analysis to be included in the analysis. Ten years of data are required for discrete parameters, and five years of data are required for continuous parameters. If there are insufficient years of data, the number of years of data available will be noted and labeled as "insufficient data to conduct analysis". Further, for the preferred Seasonal Kendall-Tau test, there must be data from at least two months in common across at least two consecutive years within the RCP managed area being analyzed. Values that pass both of these tests will be included in the analysis and be labeled as  $Use\_In\_Analysis = TRUE$ . Any that fail either test will be excluded from the analyses and labeled as  $Use\_In\_Analysis = FALSE$ . The points for all Water Column plots displayed in this section are monthly averages. Trend significance will be denoted as "Significant Trend" (when p < 0.05), or "Non-significant Trend" (when p >= 0.05). Any parameters with insufficient data to perform Seasonal Kendall-Tau test will have their monthly averages plotted without a corresponding trend line.

# Water Quality - Discrete

The following files were used in the discrete analysis:

- Combined WQ WC NUT Chlorophyll a corrected for pheophytin-2024-Dec-08.txt
- Combined WQ WC NUT Chlorophyll a uncorrected for pheophytin-2024-Dec-08.txt
- Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_Colored\_dissolved\_organic\_matter\_CDOM-2024-Dec-08.txt
- $\bullet \ \ Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_Dissolved\_Oxygen-2024-Dec-08.txt$
- Combined WQ WC NUT Dissolved Oxygen Saturation-2024-Dec-08.txt
- $\bullet$  Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_pH-2024-Dec-08.txt
- Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_Salinity-2024-Dec-08.txt
- Combined WQ WC NUT Secchi Depth-2024-Dec-08.txt
- $\bullet \quad Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_Total\_Nitrogen-2024-Dec-08.txt$
- Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_Total\_Phosphorus-2024-Dec-08.txt
- $\bullet \ \ Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_Total\_Suspended\_Solids\_TSS-2024-Dec-08.txt$
- $\bullet \quad Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_Turbidity \hbox{-} 2024 \hbox{-} Dec \hbox{-} 08.txt$
- $\bullet$  Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_Water\_Temperature-2024-Dec-08.txt

# Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis




Figure 1: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin - Discrete

Table 6: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	P	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	3888	24	3.925	TRUE	-0.0847	0.0782	-0.0482	6.2738	28.8043	0.0024	0



Figure 2: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 7: Programs contributing data for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin

Program ID	$N\_Data$	YearMin	YearMax
303	1893	2012	2024
5002	1074	2001	2024
476	674	2008	2024
513	182	2003	2023
103	115	2020	2021

- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX<sup>1</sup>
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network  $^2$
- 476- Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network $^3$
- 513- Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network $^4$
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN $^5$

# Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis




Figure 3: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin - Discrete

Table 8: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	1451	20	4.2558	TRUE	-0.0389	0.4707	-0.0155	4.9075	16.6296	0.1193	0




Figure 4: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 9: Programs contributing data for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
476	813	1998	2024
509	348	1999	2008
103	228	2002	2022
514	59	2001	2002
5002	22	2005	2021
513	16	2002	2004
115	4	2002	2004
95	3	2011	2013
118	3	2006	2010

- 95- Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network $^6$
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX  $^{\!1}$
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment  $\rm Program^7$

- 118 National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment<sup>8</sup>
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>3</sup>
- 509 SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>9</sup>
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network<sup>4</sup>
- 514 Florida LAKEWATCH Program<sup>10</sup>
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN<sup>5</sup>

#### Colored Dissolved Organic Matter - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 5: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Colored Dissolved Organic Matter - Discrete

Table 10: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Colored Dissolved Organic Matter

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	P	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	1460	16	7.5	TRUE	-0.0525	0.4992	-0.0365	8.1522	11.7846	0.3801	0




Figure 6: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Colored Dissolved Organic Matter. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 11: Programs contributing data for Colored Dissolved Organic Matter

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	988	2018	2024
476	259	2017	2024
513	179	2002	2023
514	35	2001	2002

476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>3</sup>

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network<sup>4</sup>

514 - Florida LAKEWATCH  $\rm Program^{10}$ 

5002 - Florida STORET / WIN $^5$ 

#### Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete Water Quality

# Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete, All Depths Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve




Figure 7: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete

Table 12: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Dissolved Oxygen

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	34660	38	6.9	TRUE	-0.0184	0.5997	-0.0021	7.1899	14.5519	0.2039	0




Figure 8: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Dissolved Oxygen. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 13: Programs contributing data for Dissolved Oxygen

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	19960	1990	2022
5002	9530	1987	2024
95	1535	1985	2018
303	1406	2012	2020
476	1216	1998	2024
509	696	1999	2008
103	223	2003	2022
513	196	2002	2023
118	16	2006	2020
115	14	2002	2004

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM)  $\rm Program^{11}$ 

95- Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network $^6$ 

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX  $^{\! 1}$ 

- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment  $\rm Program^7$
- 118 National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment<sup>8</sup>
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network<sup>2</sup>
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>3</sup>
- 509 SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>9</sup>
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network  $^4$
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN<sup>5</sup>

#### Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 9: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Discrete

Table 14: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	3158	11	90.8	TRUE	-0.0816	0.2633	-0.2184	89.142	9.2636	0.5976	0




Figure 10: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 15: Programs contributing data for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
303	1676	2014	2024
5002	1286	2014	2024
476	198	2017	2024
513	15	2023	2023
95	2	2016	2016

- 95- Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network $^6$
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network<sup>2</sup>
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>3</sup>
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network  $^4$
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN $^5$

# pH - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 11: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for pH - Discrete

Table 16: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for pH

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	32359	38	8.1	TRUE	-0.0208	0.5844	-0.0003	8.0935	8.0564	0.7082	0




Figure 12: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for pH. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 17: Programs contributing data for pH

Program ID	$N\_Data$	YearMin	YearMax
69	19776	1991	2022
5002	7315	1987	2024
303	1881	2012	2024
95	1469	1955	2018
476	1216	1998	2024
103	291	2020	2022
509	270	2001	2008
513	197	2002	2023
115	14	2002	2004

- 69 Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM)  $\rm Program^{11}$
- 95- Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network $^6$
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX<sup>1</sup>
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program<sup>7</sup>

- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network  $\!^2$
- 476- Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network $^3$
- 509 SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>9</sup>
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network<sup>4</sup>
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN<sup>5</sup>

#### Salinity - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 13: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Salinity - Discrete

Table 18: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Salinity

${\bf Relative Depth}$	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	${\bf SennSlope}$	${\bf SennIntercept}$	ChiSquared	${\it pChiSquared}$	Trend
All	33533	63	32.8	TRUE	-0.0797	0.0116	-0.0147	33.3139	6.4642	0.8407	-1




Figure 14: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Salinity. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 19: Programs contributing data for Salinity

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	20075	1990	2022
5002	6205	1995	2024
95	2931	1954	2018
303	2073	2012	2024
476	1274	1998	2024
509	702	1999	2008
513	169	2002	2008
456	71	1970	2012
118	20	2015	2020
115	14	2002	2004
103	4	2004	2004

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM)  $\rm Program^{11}$ 

95- Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network $^6$ 

- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX<sup>1</sup>
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program<sup>7</sup>
- 118 National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment<sup>8</sup>
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network<sup>2</sup>
- 456 Oyster Sentinel<sup>12</sup>
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>3</sup>
- 509 SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>9</sup>
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network  $^4$
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN<sup>5</sup>

#### Secchi Depth - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 15: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Secchi Depth - Discrete

Table 20: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Secchi Depth

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
Surface	21115	31	1.2	TRUE	0.1161	0.002	0.0043	1.2476	15.1113	0.1775	1




Figure 16: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Secchi Depth. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 21: Programs contributing data for Secchi Depth

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	19789	1994	2022
476	816	1998	2024
303	185	2012	2019
5002	126	2005	2024
513	75	2003	2008
103	69	2020	2022
514	53	2001	2002
115	3	2002	2004

- 69 Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program<sup>11</sup>
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX<sup>1</sup>
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program<sup>7</sup>
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network  $^2$
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>3</sup>

```
513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network<sup>4</sup>
```

514 - Florida LAKEWATCH Program<sup>10</sup>

5002 - Florida STORET / WIN<sup>5</sup>

#### Total Nitrogen - Discrete Water Quality

#### **Total Nitrogen Calculation:**

The logic for calculated Total Nitrogen was provided by Kevin O'Donnell and colleagues at FDEP (with the help of Jay Silvanima, Watershed Monitoring Section). The following logic is used, in this order, based on the availability of specific nitrogen components.

- 1) TN = TKN + NO3O2;
- 2) TN = TKN + NO3 + NO2;
- 3) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO3O2;
- 4) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO2 + NO3;
- 5) TN = TKN + NO3;
- 6) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO3;

#### Additional Information:

- Rules for use of sample fraction:
  - Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) report that if both "Total" and "Dissolved" components are reported, only "Total" is used. If the total is not reported, then the dissolved components are used as a best available replacement.
  - Total nitrogen calculations are done using nitrogen components with the same sample fraction, nitrogen components with mixed total/dissolved sample fractions are not used. In other words, total nitrogen can be calculated when TKN and NO3O2 are both total sample fractions, or when both are dissolved sample fractions. Future calculations of total nitrogen values may be based on components with mixed sample fractions.
- Values inserted into data:
  - ParameterName = "Total Nitrogen"
  - SEACAR\_QAQCFlagCode = "1Q"
  - SEACAR\_QAQC\_Description = "SEACAR Calculated"




Figure 17: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Total Nitrogen - Discrete

Table 22: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Nitrogen

${\bf Relative Depth}$	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	${\bf SennIntercept}$	ChiSquared	${\it pChiSquared}$	Trend
All	5940	30	0.604	TRUE	0.3375	0	0.0147	0.3689	10.891	0.4524	1




Figure 18: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Total Nitrogen. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 23: Programs contributing data for Total Nitrogen

Program ID	$N\_Data$	YearMin	YearMax
5002	3045	1995	2024
303	1213	2012	2023
476	1101	1998	2024
509	351	1999	2008
513	151	2002	2023
514	59	2001	2002
103	45	2002	2006
115	4	2002	2004

- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX<sup>1</sup>
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program<sup>7</sup>
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network<sup>2</sup>
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>3</sup>
- 509 SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>9</sup>

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network<sup>4</sup>

514 - Florida LAKEWATCH Program  $^{10}$ 

5002 - Florida STORET / WIN $^5$ 

#### Total Phosphorus - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 19: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Total Phosphorus - Discrete

Table 24: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Phosphorus

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	р	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	${\it pChiSquared}$	Trend
All	4315	27	0.038	TRUE	-0.2214	0	-0.0007	0.0505	9.6982	0.5577	-1




Figure 20: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Total Phosphorus. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 25: Programs contributing data for Total Phosphorus

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
476	1240	1998	2024
5002	1193	2005	2024
303	1077	2012	2023
509	348	1999	2008
103	293	2002	2022
513	162	2003	2023
514	59	2001	2002
115	4	2002	2004

- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX<sup>1</sup>
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program<sup>7</sup>
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network<sup>2</sup>
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>3</sup>
- 509 SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>9</sup>

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network<sup>4</sup>

514 - Florida LAKEWATCH  $\rm Program^{10}$ 

5002 - Florida STORET / WIN $^5$ 

## Total Suspended Solids - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 21: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Total Suspended Solids - Discrete

Table 26: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Suspended Solids

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	${\it pChiSquared}$	Trend
All	2781	29	12.4	TRUE	-0.0562	0.2224	-0.113	16.4512	7.145	0.7872	0



Figure 22: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Total Suspended Solids. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 27: Programs contributing data for Total Suspended Solids

ProgramID	$N\_Data$	YearMin	YearMax
5002	2622	1987	2024
513	184	2002	2023
103	115	2020	2021

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX<sup>1</sup>

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network<sup>4</sup>

5002 - Florida STORET /  $\rm WIN^5$ 

## Turbidity - Discrete Water Quality






Figure 23: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Turbidity - Discrete

Table 28: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Turbidity

${\bf Relative Depth}$	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	${\bf SennSlope}$	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	${\it pChiSquared}$	Trend
All	6596	30	2	TRUE	-0.036	0.356	-0.0087	2.6738	9.1741	0.6058	0



Figure 24: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Turbidity. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 29: Programs contributing data for Turbidity

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	6707	1995	2024
303	1888	2012	2024
476	1317	1998	2024
509	348	1999	2008
103	263	2006	2022
513	166	2003	2023

- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX  $^{\! 1}$
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network  $^2$
- 476- Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network $^3$
- 509 SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>9</sup>
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network<sup>4</sup>
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN $^5$

## Water Temperature - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 25: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Water Temperature - Discrete

Table 30: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Water Temperature  $\,$ 

${\bf Relative Depth}$	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	${\it pChiSquared}$	Trend
All	36771	61	26.4	TRUE	0.1453	0	0.0145	24.1661	8.2985	0.6863	1




Figure 26: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Water Temperature. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 31: Programs contributing data for Water Temperature

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	20072	1990	2022
5002	9581	1987	2024
95	2618	1954	2018
303	1966	2012	2024
476	1265	1998	2024
509	702	1999	2008
103	294	2004	2022
513	189	2002	2023
456	71	1970	2012
115	14	2002	2004

- 69 Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM)  $\rm Program^{11}$
- 95- Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network $^6$
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX<sup>1</sup>

- Environmental Monitoring Assessment  $\mathrm{Program}^7$
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network<sup>2</sup>
- Oyster Sentinel  $^{12}$
- Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network $^3$
- 509 SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network<sup>9</sup>
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network<sup>4</sup>
- Florida STORET / WIN $^5$

# Water Quality - Continuous

The following files were used in the continuous analysis:

- $\bullet \ \ Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_cont\_Dissolved\_Oxygen\_SW-2024-Dec-08.txt$
- $\bullet \ \ Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_cont\_Dissolved\_Oxygen\_Saturation\_SW\text{-}2024\text{-}Dec\text{-}08.txt$
- $\bullet \ \ Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_cont\_pH\_SW\text{--}2024\text{-}Dec\text{--}08.txt$
- $\bullet$  Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_cont\_Salinity\_SW-2024-Dec-08.txt
- $\bullet \ \ Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_cont\_Turbidity\_SW\text{-}2024\text{-}Dec\text{-}08.txt$
- $\bullet \ \ Combined\_WQ\_WC\_NUT\_cont\_Water\_Temperature\_SW-2024-Dec-08.txt$

#### Continuous monitoring locations in Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve

Table 32: National Water Information System (7)

$\overline{ProgramLocationID}$	Years of Data	Use in Analysis	Parameters
02293249	4	FALSE	DO , pH , Sal , Turb , TempW




Figure 27: Map showing Continuous Water Quality Monitoring sampling locations within the boundaries of Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve. Sites marked as *Use In Analysis* are featured in this report.

### Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

The data file used is: All\_SAV\_Parameters-2024-Dec-08.txt

Submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) refers to plants and plant-like macroalgae species that live entirely underwater. The two primary categories of SAV inhabiting Florida estuaries are benthic macroalgae and seagrasses. They often grow together in dense beds or meadows that carpet the seafloor. Macroalgae include multicellular species of green, red and brown algae that often live attached to the substrate by a holdfast. They tend to grow quickly and can tolerate relatively high nutrient levels, making them a threat to seagrasses and other benthic habitats in areas with poor water quality. In contrast, seagrasses are grass-like, vascular, flowering plants that are attached to the seafloor by extensive root systems. Seagrasses occur throughout the coastal areas of Florida, including protected bays and lagoons as well as deeper offshore waters on the continental shelf. Seagrasses have taken advantage of the broad, shallow shelf and clear water to produce two of the most extensive seagrass beds anywhere in continental North America.

#### **Parameters**

**Percent Cover** measures the fraction of an area of seafloor that is covered by SAV, usually estimated by evaluating multiple small areas of seafloor. Percent cover is often estimated for total SAV, individual types of vegetation (seagrass, attached algae, drift algae) and individual species.

Frequency of Occurrence was calculated as the number of times a taxon was observed in a year divided by the number of sampling events, multiplied by 100. Analysis is conducted at the quadrat level and is inclusive of all quadrats (i.e., quadrats evaluated using Braun-Blanquet, modified Braun-Blanquet, and percent cover."

#### **Species**

**Turtle grass** (*Thalassia testudinum*) is the largest of the Florida seagrasses, with longer, thicker blades and deeper root structures than any of the other seagrasses. It is considered a climax seagrass species.

**Shoal grass** (*Halodule wrightii*) is an early colonizer of vegetated areas and usually grows in water too shallow for other species except *widgeon grass*. It can often tolerate larger salinity ranges than other seagrass species. *Shoal grass* is characterized by thin, flat blades, that are narrower than *turtle grass* blades.

Manatee grass (Syringodium filiforme) is easily recognizable because its leaves are thin and cylindrical instead of the flat, ribbon-like form shared by many other seagrass species. The leaves can grow up to half a meter in length. Manatee grass is usually found in mixed seagrass beds or small, dense monospecific patches.

Widgeon grass (*Ruppia maritima*) grows in both fresh and salt water and is widely distributed throughout Florida's estuaries in less saline areas, particularly in inlets along the east coast. This species resembles *shoal grass* in certain environments but can be identified by the pointed tips of its leaves.

Three species of *Halophila spp.* are found in Florida - **Star grass** (*Halophila engelmannii*), **Paddle grass** (*Halophila decipiens*), and **Johnson's seagrass** (*Halophila johnsonii*). These are smaller, more fragile seagrasses than other Florida species and are considered ephemeral. They grow along a single long rhizome, with short blades. These species are not well-studied, although surveys are underway to define their ecological roles.

#### Notes

Star grass, Paddle grass, and Johnson's seagrass will be grouped together and listed as **Halophila spp.** in the following managed areas. This is because several surveys did not specify to the species level:

- Banana River Aquatic Preserve
- Indian River-Malabar to Vero Beach Aquatic Preserve
- Indian River-Vero Beach to Ft. Pierce Aquatic Preserve
- Jensen Beach to Jupiter Inlet Aquatic Preserve
- Loxahatchee River-Lake Worth Creek Aquatic Preserve
- Mosquito Lagoon Aquatic Preserve

- Biscayne Bay Aquatic Preserve
- Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary




Figure 28: Maps showing the temporal scope of SAV sampling sites within the boundaries of  $Pine\ Island\ Sound\ Aquatic\ Preserve$  by Program name.

#### Sampling locations by Program:



Figure 29: Map showing SAV sampling sites within the boundaries of *Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve*. The point size reflects the number of samples at a given sampling site.

Table 33: Charlotte Harbor Seagrass Monitoring - Program  $570\,$ 

N-Data	YearMin	YearMax	method	Sample Locations
3442	1998	2023	Braun Blanquet	12

Table 34: SCCF Seagrass Surveys - Program 3015

N-Data	YearMin	YearMax	method	Sample Locations
2639	2010	2022	Percent Occurrence	12

#### Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve Total seagrass Manatee grass Paddle grass 100 75 50 25 0 Shoal grass Star grass Turtle grass 100 Number of Median percent cover observations 75 30 50 20 25 10 0 30% 3073 Attached algae Drift algae 100 0 000 0 75 50 25 0 Year

Median percent cover

Figure 30: Trends in median percent cover for various seagrass species in Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve

# Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve 75 Species Manatee grass Shoal grass Turtle grass Turtle grass Total seagrass Trend significance (alpha = 0.05) Not significant

Median percent cover

Figure 31: Trends in median percent cover for various seagrass species in Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve - simplified

Year

Table 35: Percent Cover Trend Analysis for Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve

CommonName	Trend Significance $(0.05)$	Period of Record	$LME ext{-}Intercept$	$LME ext{-}Slope$	p
Attached algae	No significant trend	2002 - 2023	18.48585	-0.5710769	0.0671357
Drift algae	No significant trend	2000 - 2023	11.09623	0.0470731	0.7978636
Shoal grass	No significant trend	2000 - 2023	13.10289	0.5825778	0.1485287
Paddle grass	Insufficient data to calculate trend	-	-	-	-
Star grass	Insufficient data to calculate trend	-	-	-	-
No grass in quadrat	Model did not fit the available data	2002 - 2023	-	_	_
Widgeon grass	Insufficient data to calculate trend	-	-	-	-
Manatee grass	No significant trend	2000 - 2023	18.24737	0.5266443	0.1271804
Turtle grass	No significant trend	1998 - 2023	9.20782	0.0844143	0.5408345
Total seagrass	No significant trend	1998 - 2023	17.26567	0.4130112	0.2023720

# Frequency of occurrence Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve 100 75 Species Occurrence frequency (%) Manatee grass Paddle grass Shoal grass 50 Star grass Turtle grass Widgeon grass Attached algae 25 0 70<sub>70</sub> Year 30 30 70 80 76

Figure 32: Frequency of occurrence for various seagrass species in Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve

# Coastal Wetlands

The data file used is:  $All\_CW\_Parameters-2024-Dec-08.txt$ 




Figure 33: Figure for Coastal Wetlands Species Richness in Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve

Table 36: Coastal Wetlands Species Richness

$Species\ Group$	$Sample\ Count$	Number of Years	Period of Record	$Median\ N\ of\ Taxa$	$Mean\ N\ of\ Taxa$
Marsh succulents	17	1	2004 - 2004	1	1.12

# Oyster

The data file used is:  $All\_OYSTER\_Parameters-2024-Dec-08.txt$ 

## Density

#### Natural




Figure 34: Figure for Oyster Density in Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve

Table 37: Model results for Oyster Density - Natural

Shell Type	$Habitat\ Type$	Trend Status	Estimate	$Standard\ Error$	$Credible\ Interval$
Live Oyster Shells	Natural	No significant change	-0.7	1.05	-2.83 to 1.46

#### Restored

# Oyster Density Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve




Figure 35: Figure for Oyster Density in Pine Island Sound Aquatic Preserve

Table 38: Model results for Oyster Density - Restored

Shell Type	$Habitat\ Type$	Trend Status	Estimate	Standard Error	Credible Interval
Live Oyster Shells	Restored	Significantly increasing trend	0.15	0.14	0 to 0.5

#### References

- 1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX. (2023).
- 2. Sanibel-Captiva Conservation Foundation (SCCF). River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network. (2024).
- 3. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection (RCP); Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves. Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network. (2024).
- 4. Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program (CHNEP). Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network. (2024).
- 5. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Florida STORET / WIN. (2024).
- 6. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC); Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute (FWRI). Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network. (2018).
- 7. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); Office of Research and Development. Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program. (2004).
- 8. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); Office of Water; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA); U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS); National Estuary Program (NEP); coastal states. National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment. (2021).
- 9. Florida International University (FIU); Southeastern Environmental Research Program. SERC Water Quality Monitoring Network. (2008).
- 10. University of Florida (UF); Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. Florida LAKEWATCH Program. (2024).
- 11. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC). Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program. (2022).
- 12. Oyster Sentinel. Oyster Sentinel. (2016).