Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve SEACAR Habitat Analyses

Last compiled on 08 January, $2025\,$

Contents

Funding & Acknowledgements	2
Threshold Filtering	2
Value Qualifiers	3
Water Column	5
Seasonal Kendall-Tau Analysis	5
Water Quality - Discrete Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete Water Quality Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Discrete Water Quality pH - Discrete Water Quality Salinity - Discrete Water Quality Secchi Depth - Discrete Water Quality Total Nitrogen - Discrete Water Quality Total Phosphorus - Discrete Water Quality Total Suspended Solids - Discrete Water Quality Turbidity - Discrete Water Quality Water Temperature - Discrete Water Quality	8 10 12 14 16 18 20 23 25 26
Water Quality - Continuous Dissolved Oxygen - All Stations Combined Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - All Stations Combined pH - All Stations Combined Salinity - All Stations Combined Turbidity - All Stations Combined Water Temperature - All Stations Combined	35 36 37 38
Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Parameters Species Notes	40
References	46

Funding & Acknowledgements

The data used in this analysis is from the Export Standardized Tables in the SEACAR Data Discovery Interface (DDI). Documents and information available through the SEACAR DDI are owned by the data provider(s) and users are expected to provide appropriate credit following accepted citation formats. Users are encouraged to access data to maximize utilization of gained knowledge, reducing redundant research and facilitating partnerships and scientific innovation.

With respect to documents and information available from SEACAR DDI, neither the State of Florida nor the Florida Department of Environmental Protection makes any warranty, expressed or implied, including the warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose arising out of the use or inability to use the data, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

This report was funded in part, through a grant agreement from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Coastal Management Program, by a grant provided by the Office for Coastal Management under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The views, statements, findings, conclusions and recommendations expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the State of Florida, NOAA or any of their sub agencies.

Published: 2025-01-08







Threshold Filtering

Threshold filters, following the guidance of Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (FDEP) Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration (DEAR) are used to exclude specific results values from the SEACAR Analysis. Based on the threshold filters, Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QAQC) Flags are inserted into the $SEACAR_QAQCFlagCode$ and $SEACAR_QAQC_Description$ columns of the export data. The Include column indicates whether the QAQC Flag will also indicate that data are excluded from analysis. No data are excluded from the data export, but the analysis scripts can use the Include column to exclude data (1 to include, 0 to exclude).

Table 1: Continuous Water Quality threshold values

Parameter Name	Units	Low Threshold	High Threshold
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	-0.000001	50
Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	%	-0.000001	500
Salinity	ppt	-0.000001	70
Turbidity	NTU	-0.000001	4000
Water Temperature	Degrees C	-5.000000	45
рН	None	2.000000	14

Table 2: Discrete Water Quality threshold values

Parameter Name	Units	Low Threshold	High Threshold
Ammonia, Un-ionized (NH3)	mg/L	-	-
Ammonium, Filtered (NH4)	mg/L	-	-
Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin	ug/L	-	-
Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin	ug/L	-	-
Colored Dissolved Organic Matter	PCU	-	-

Parameter Name	Units	Low Threshold	High Threshold
Dissolved Oxygen	$_{ m mg/L}$	-0.000001	25
Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	%	-0.000001	310
Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Matter	QSE	-	-
Light Extinction Coefficient	m^-1	-	-
NO2+3, Filtered	mg/L	-	-
Nitrate (NO3)	m mg/L	-	-
Nitrite (NO2)	mg/L	-	-
Nitrogen, organic	mg/L	-	-
Phosphate, Filtered (PO4)	mg/L	-	-
Salinity	ppt	-0.000001	70
Secchi Depth	m	0.000001	50
Specific Conductivity	mS/cm	0.005000	100
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Nitrogen	m mg/L	-	-
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	-	-
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	-	-
Turbidity	NTU	-	-
Water Temperature	Degrees C	3.000000	40
pH	None	2.000000	13

Table 3: Quality Assurance Flags inserted based on threshold checks listed in Table 1 and 2 $\,$

SEACAR QAQC Description	Include	$SEACAR\ QAQCF lagCode$
Exceeds maximum threshold	0	2Q
Below minimum threshold	0	4Q
Within threshold tolerance	1	6Q
No defined thresholds for this parameter	1	7Q

Value Qualifiers

Value qualifier codes included within the data are used to exclude certain results from the analysis. The data are retained in the data export files, but the analysis uses the *Include* column to filter the results.

STORET and WIN value qualifier codes

Value qualifier codes from *STORET* and *WIN* data are examined with the database and used to populate the *Include* column in data exports.

Table 4: Value Qualifier codes excluded from analysis

Qualifier Source	Value Qualifier	Include	MDL	Description
STORET-WIN	Н	0	0	Value based on field kit determination; results may not be accurate
STORET-WIN	J	0	0	Estimated value
STORET-WIN	V	0	0	Analyte was detected at or above method detection limit
STORET-WIN	Y	0	0	Lab analysis from an improperly preserved sample; data may be inaccurate

Discrete Water Quality Value Qualifiers

The following value qualifiers are highlighted in the Discrete Water Quality section of this report. An exception is made for **Program 476** - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network and data flagged with Value Qualifier **H** are included for this program only.

- **H** Value based on field kit determiniation; results may not be accurate. This code shall be used if a field screening test (e.g., field gas chromatograph data, immunoassay, or vendor-supplied field kit) was used to generate the value and the field kit or method has not been recognized by the Department as equivalent to laboratory methods.
- I The reported value is greater than or equal to the laboratory method detection limit but less than the laboratory practical quantitation limit.
- **Q** Sample held beyond the accepted holding time. This code shall be used if the value is derived from a sample that was prepared or analyzed after the approved holding time restrictions for sample preparation or analysis.
- ${f S}$ Secchi disk visible to bottom of waterbody. The value reported is the depth of the waterbody at the location of the Secchi disk measurement.
- U Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected. This symbol shall be used to indicate that the specified component was not detected. The value associated with the qualifier shall be the laboratory method detection limit. Unless requested by the client, less than the method detection limit values shall not be reported

Systemwide Monitoring Program (SWMP) value qualifier codes

Value qualifier codes from the SWMP continuous program are examined with the database and used to populate the Include column in data exports. SWMP Qualifier Codes are indicated by QualifierSource=SWMP.

Table 5: SWMP Value Qualifier codes

Qualifier Source	Value Qualifier	Include	Description
SWMP	-1	Yes	Optional parameter not collected
SWMP	-2	No	Missing data
SWMP	-3	No	Data rejected due to QA/QC
SWMP	-4	No	Outside low sensor range
SWMP	-5	No	Outside high sensor range
SWMP	0	Yes	Passed initial QA/QC checks
SWMP	1	No	Suspect data
SWMP	2	Yes	Reserved for future use
SWMP	3	Yes	Calculated data: non-vented depth/level sensor correction for changes in barometric pressure
SWMP	4	Yes	Historical: Pre-auto QA/QC
SWMP	5	Yes	Corrected data

Water Column

The water column habitat extends from the water's surface to the bottom sediments, and it's where fish, dolphins, crabs and people swim! So much life makes its home in the water column that the health of marine and coastal ecosystems, as well as human economies, depend on the condition of this vulnerable habitat. Local patterns of rainfall, temperature, winds and currents can rapidly change the condition of the water column, while global influences such as El Niño/La Niña, large-scale fluctuation in sea temperatures and climate change can have long-term effects. Inputs from the prosperity of our day-to-day lives including farming, mining and forestry, and emissions from power generation, automobiles and water treatment can also alter the health of the water column. Acting alone or together, each input can have complex and lasting effects on habitats and ecosystems.

SEACAR evaluates water column health with several essential parameters. These include nutrient surveys of nitrogen and phosphorus, andwater quality assessments of salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH, and water temperature. Water clarity is evaluated with Secchi depth, turbidity, levels of chlorophyll a, total suspended solids, and colored dissolved organic matter. Additionally, the richness of nekton is indicated by the abundance of free-swimming fishes and macroinvertebrates like crabs and shrimps.

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Analysis

Indicators must have a minimum of five to ten years, depending on the habitat, of data within the geographic range of the analysis to be included in the analysis. Ten years of data are required for discrete parameters, and five years of data are required for continuous parameters. If there are insufficient years of data, the number of years of data available will be noted and labeled as "insufficient data to conduct analysis". Further, for the preferred Seasonal Kendall-Tau test, there must be data from at least two months in common across at least two consecutive years within the RCP managed area being analyzed. Values that pass both of these tests will be included in the analysis and be labeled as $Use_In_Analysis = TRUE$. Any that fail either test will be excluded from the analyses and labeled as $Use_In_Analysis = FALSE$. The points for all Water Column plots displayed in this section are monthly averages. Trend significance will be denoted as "Significant Trend" (when p < 0.05), or "Non-significant Trend" (when p >= 0.05). Any parameters with insufficient data to perform Seasonal Kendall-Tau test will have their monthly averages plotted without a corresponding trend line.

Water Quality - Discrete

The following files were used in the discrete analysis:

- Combined WQ WC NUT Chlorophyll a corrected for pheophytin-2024-Dec-08.txt
- Combined WQ WC NUT Chlorophyll a uncorrected for pheophytin-2024-Dec-08.txt
- Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Colored_dissolved_organic_matter_CDOM-2024-Dec-08.txt
- $\bullet \ \ Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Dissolved_Oxygen-2024-Dec-08.txt$
- Combined WQ WC NUT Dissolved Oxygen Saturation-2024-Dec-08.txt
- \bullet Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_pH-2024-Dec-08.txt
- Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Salinity-2024-Dec-08.txt
- Combined WQ WC NUT Secchi Depth-2024-Dec-08.txt
- $\bullet \quad Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Total_Nitrogen-2024-Dec-08.txt$
- Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Total_Phosphorus-2024-Dec-08.txt
- $\bullet \ \ Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Total_Suspended_Solids_TSS-2024-Dec-08.txt$
- $\bullet \quad Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Turbidity \hbox{-} 2024 \hbox{-} Dec \hbox{-} 08.txt$
- \bullet Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Water_Temperature-2024-Dec-08.txt

Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis




Figure 1: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin - Discrete

Table 6: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	1939	23	3.4	TRUE	-0.0489	0.2732	-0.0255	3.8978	26.8121	0.0049	0




Figure 2: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 7: Programs contributing data for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
513	755	2002	2024
5028	654	2007	2024
476	266	2008	2024
5002	242	2005	2024
103	28	2020	2021
303	7	2019	2019

- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX $^{\! 1}$
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network²
- 476- Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network 3
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network⁴
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN 5
- 5028- Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves Monthly Water Quality $\rm Program^6$

Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis




Figure 3: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin - Discrete

Table 8: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	598	19	4.675	TRUE	0.0968	0.0734	0.0338	4.604	16.8104	0.1136	0




Figure 4: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 9: Programs contributing data for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
476	289	1998	2024
5028	260	2017	2024
103	77	2001	2022
5002	6	2005	2005
118	2	2001	2005
95	1	2013	2013
115	1	2001	2001

- 95- Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network 7
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX¹
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program⁸
- 118 National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment⁹
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network³

Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 5: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete

Table 10: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Dissolved Oxygen

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	11391	35	6.6	TRUE	-0.0357	0.3277	-0.0054	6.7093	21.8814	0.0253	0




Figure 6: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Dissolved Oxygen. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 11: Programs contributing data for Dissolved Oxygen

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	5547	1989	2022
5002	3133	1995	2024
513	1530	2002	2024
95	500	1996	2018
476	465	1998	2024
5028	148	2007	2024
103	121	2020	2022
303	9	2018	2019
115	5	2001	2001
118	2	2001	2005

- 69 Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) $\rm Program^{10}$
- 95 Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network⁷
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX $^{\! 1}$

- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment $\rm Program^8$
- 118 National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment⁹
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network²
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network³
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network⁴
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN⁵
- 5028 Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves Monthly Water Quality Program⁶

Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 7: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Discrete

Table 12: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

${\bf Relative Depth}$	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	${\it pChiSquared}$	Trend
All	1161	14	89.5	TRUE	-0.0293	0.6976	-0.0762	86.65	12.0848	0.3573	0




Figure 8: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 13: Programs contributing data for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
513	588	2017	2024
5002	225	2018	2024
5028	155	2007	2024
95	120	2008	2018
476	84	2017	2024
303	5	2019	2019

- 95 Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network 7
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network 2
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network³
- 513- Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network 4
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN⁵
- 5028 Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves Monthly Water Quality Program⁶

pH - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 9: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for ${\rm pH}$ - Discrete

Table 14: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for pH

${\bf Relative Depth}$	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	10750	35	7.93	TRUE	0.0371	0.2548	0.0013	7.9484	50.8954	0	0




Figure 10: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for pH. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 15: Programs contributing data for pH

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	5484	1989	2022
5002	2451	1995	2024
513	1526	2002	2024
95	503	1996	2018
476	467	1998	2024
5028	194	2007	2024
103	136	2020	2022
115	5	2001	2001

- 69 Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program¹⁰
- 95 Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network 7
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX¹
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program⁸
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network³

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network 4

5002 - Florida STORET / WIN 5

5028- Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves Monthly Water Quality $\rm Program^6$

Salinity - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 11: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Salinity - Discrete

Table 16: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Salinity

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	${\it pChiSquared}$	Trend
All	10640	42	25.9	TRUE	-0.0715	0.0458	-0.0541	30.0855	2.6015	0.995	-1




Figure 12: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Salinity. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 17: Programs contributing data for Salinity

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	5589	1989	2022
5002	2263	1995	2017
513	1541	2002	2024
95	561	1954	2018
476	483	1998	2024
5028	195	2007	2024
303	10	2018	2019
115	5	2001	2001

- 69 Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program¹⁰
- 95 Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network⁷
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment $\rm Program^8$
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network 2
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network³

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network⁴

5002 - Florida STORET / WIN 5

5028- Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves Monthly Water Quality $\rm Program^6$

Secchi Depth - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 13: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Secchi Depth - Discrete

Table 18: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Secchi Depth

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
Surface	6497	31	1	TRUE	0.104	0.006	0.0053	1.0656	7.0492	0.7951	1




Figure 14: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Secchi Depth. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 19: Programs contributing data for Secchi Depth

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	5379	1994	2022
513	544	2002	2024
476	395	1998	2024
5028	88	2007	2024
103	66	2020	2022
5002	19	2005	2005
303	5	2018	2019
115	1	2001	2001

- 69 Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program¹⁰
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX¹
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program⁸
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network 2
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network³

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network⁴

5002 - Florida STORET / WIN⁵

5028 - Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves Monthly Water Quality Program⁶

Total Nitrogen - Discrete Water Quality

Total Nitrogen Calculation:

The logic for calculated Total Nitrogen was provided by Kevin O'Donnell and colleagues at FDEP (with the help of Jay Silvanima, Watershed Monitoring Section). The following logic is used, in this order, based on the availability of specific nitrogen components.

- 1) TN = TKN + NO3O2;
- 2) TN = TKN + NO3 + NO2;
- 3) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO3O2;
- 4) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO2 + NO3;
- 5) TN = TKN + NO3;
- 6) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO3;

Additional Information:

- Rules for use of sample fraction:
 - Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) report that if both "Total" and "Dissolved" components are reported, only "Total" is used. If the total is not reported, then the dissolved components are used as a best available replacement.
 - Total nitrogen calculations are done using nitrogen components with the same sample fraction, nitrogen components with mixed total/dissolved sample fractions are not used. In other words, total nitrogen can be calculated when TKN and NO3O2 are both total sample fractions, or when both are dissolved sample fractions. Future calculations of total nitrogen values may be based on components with mixed sample fractions.
- Values inserted into data:
 - ParameterName = "Total Nitrogen"
 - SEACAR_QAQCFlagCode = "1Q"
 - SEACAR QAQC Description = "SEACAR Calculated"




Figure 15: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Total Nitrogen - Discrete

Table 20: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Nitrogen

${\bf Relative Depth}$	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	${\bf SennSlope}$	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	${\it pChiSquared}$	Trend
All	2020	29	0.7045	TRUE	0.1357	0.0007	0.0046	0.6511	8.3928	0.6777	1




Figure 16: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Total Nitrogen. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 21: Programs contributing data for Total Nitrogen

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	854	1996	2024
5028	690	2005	2024
476	420	1998	2024
513	43	2009	2024
303	10	2018	2019
103	7	2001	2005
115	1	2001	2001

- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX¹
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program⁸
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network²
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network 3
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network⁴

Total Phosphorus - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 17: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Total Phosphorus - Discrete

Table 22: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Phosphorus

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	1861	27	0.059	TRUE	-0.0703	0.0956	-0.0003	0.063	35.3943	0.0002	0




Figure 18: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Total Phosphorus. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 23: Programs contributing data for Total Phosphorus

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5028	708	2005	2024
476	479	1998	2024
513	363	2017	2024
5002	248	2005	2024
103	90	2001	2022
303	10	2018	2019
115	1	2001	2001

- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX¹
- 115 Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program⁸
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network²
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network 3
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network⁴

Total Suspended Solids - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 19: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Total Suspended Solids - Discrete

Table 24: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Suspended Solids

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	1753	23	9	TRUE	0.0377	0.4173	0.0616	9.3878	7.0969	0.7912	0




Figure 20: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Total Suspended Solids. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 25: Programs contributing data for Total Suspended Solids

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
513	1107	2002	2024
5002	658	2003	2024
103	48	2020	2021

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX¹

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network⁴

5002 - Florida STORET / WIN 5

Turbidity - Discrete Water Quality

Turbidity - Discrete, All Depths Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve




Figure 21: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Turbidity - Discrete

Table 26: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Turbidity

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	3398	30	1.69	TRUE	-0.0659	0.0632	-0.0121	1.8813	11.322	0.4167	0




Figure 22: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Turbidity. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 27: Programs contributing data for Turbidity

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	2528	1995	2024
513	1017	2002	2024
476	491	1998	2024
103	49	2005	2022
95	31	2012	2013
303	10	2018	2019

- 95- Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network 7
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX¹
- 303 River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network²
- 476 Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network³
- 513 Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network⁴
- 5002 Florida STORET / WIN 5

Water Temperature - Discrete Water Quality




Figure 23: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Water Temperature - Discrete

Table 28: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Water Temperature

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	11632	41	26.1	TRUE	0.0709	0.0493	0.0135	24.9242	6.7602	0.8182	1




Figure 24: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Water Temperature. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 29: Programs contributing data for Water Temperature

$\overline{ProgramID}$	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	5593	1989	2022
5002	3106	1995	2024
513	1546	2002	2024
95	568	1954	2018
476	485	1998	2024
5028	186	2007	2024
103	136	2020	2022
303	10	2018	2019
115	5	2001	2001

- 69 Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) $\rm Program^{10}$
- 95 Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network⁷
- 103 EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX¹
- 115- Environmental Monitoring Assessment $\rm Program^8$

- $\it 303$ River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network²
- Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network 3
- Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network 4
- Florida STORET / WIN 5
- Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves Monthly Water Quality $\mathrm{Program}^6$

Water Quality - Continuous

The following files were used in the continuous analysis:

- $\bullet \ \ Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Dissolved_Oxygen_SW-2024-Dec-08.txt$
- $\bullet \ \ Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Dissolved_Oxygen_Saturation_SW\text{-}2024\text{-}Dec\text{-}08.txt$
- $\bullet \ \ Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_pH_SW\text{-}2024\text{-}Dec\text{-}08.txt$
- Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Salinity_SW-2024-Dec-08.txt
- $\bullet \ \ Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Turbidity_SW\text{-}2024\text{-}Dec\text{-}08.txt$
- $\bullet \ \ Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_cont_Water_Temperature_SW-2024-Dec-08.txt$

Continuous monitoring locations in Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve

Table 30: Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves Continuous Water Quality Monitoring (512)

$\overline{ProgramLocationID}$	Years of Data	Use in Analysis	Parameters
MP1A	20	TRUE	DO , DOS , pH , Sal , Turb , TempW
MP2B	20	TRUE	DO , DOS , pH , Sal , Turb , TempW
MP3C	16	TRUE	DO , DOS , pH , Sal , Turb , TempW




Figure 25: Map showing Continuous Water Quality Monitoring sampling locations within the boundaries of Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve. Sites marked as $Use\ In\ Analysis$ are featured in this report.

Dissolved Oxygen - All Stations Combined




Figure 26: Figure for Dissolved Oxygen - Continuous - All stations combined

Table 31: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for All Stations - Dissolved Oxygen

Station	N_Data	N_Years	Period of Record	Median	tau	SennIntercept	SennSlope	p
MP1A	537345	19	2005 - 2024	6.3	-0.08	6.36	-0.01	0.1503
MP2B	551766	19	2005 - 2024	6.7	0.05	6.36	0.01	0.2580
MP3C	471277	16	2009 - 2024	5.9	0.30	5.10	0.10	0.0000

Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - All Stations Combined




Figure 27: Figure for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Continuous - All stations combined

Table 32: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for All Stations - Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

Station	N_Data	N_Years	Period of Record	Median	tau	SennIntercept	SennSlope	p
MP1A	534707	19	2005 - 2024	88.1	-0.13	90.27	-0.20	0.0119
MP2B	552066	19	2005 - 2024	91.4	-0.01	90.69	-0.01	0.8690
MP3C	472618	16	2009 - 2024	81.4	0.29	70.18	1.32	0.0000

pH - All Stations Combined




Figure 28: Figure for pH - Continuous - All stations combined

Table 33: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for All Stations - pH $\,$

Station	N_Data	N_Years	Period of Record	Median	tau	SennIntercept	SennSlope	p
MP1A	500871	19	2005 - 2024	8	-0.14	8.06	0.00	0.0070
MP2B	517803	19	2005 - 2024	8	0.08	7.99	0.00	0.0922
MP3C	438695	16	2009 - 2024	8	0.18	7.88	0.01	0.0020

Salinity - All Stations Combined




Figure 29: Figure for Salinity - Continuous - All stations combined

Table 34: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for All Stations - Salinity

Station	N_Data	N_Years	Period of Record	Median	tau	SennIntercept	SennSlope	p
MP1A	549083	19	2005 - 2024	27.2	-0.34	31.61	-0.37	0.0000
MP2B	571733	19	2005 - 2024	24.5	-0.34	30.25	-0.41	0.0000
MP3C	496732	16	2009 - 2024	23.8	-0.19	27.62	-0.26	0.0007

Turbidity - All Stations Combined




Figure 30: Figure for Turbidity - Continuous - All stations combined

Table 35: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for All Stations - Turbidity

Station	N_Data	N_Years	Period of Record	Median	tau	SennIntercept	SennSlope	p
MP1A	441988	19	2005 - 2024	2	-0.14	10.27	-0.16	0.0043
MP3C	431944	16	2009 - 2024	1	-0.12	2.42	-0.06	0.0369
MP2B	498228	19	2005 - 2024	1	-0.23	2.93	-0.13	0.0000

Water Temperature - All Stations Combined




Figure 31: Figure for Water Temperature - Continuous - All stations combined

Table 36: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for All Stations - Water Temperature

Station	N_Data	N_Years	Period of Record	Median	tau	SennIntercept	SennSlope	p
MP1A MP2B MP3C	582266 580422 500679	19 19 16	2005 - 2024 2005 - 2024 2009 - 2024	26.1	0.24 0.21 0.19	25.28 25.44 25.56	0.06 0.06 0.06	0.0000 0.0001 0.0005

Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

The data file used is: All_SAV_Parameters-2024-Dec-08.txt

Submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) refers to plants and plant-like macroalgae species that live entirely underwater. The two primary categories of SAV inhabiting Florida estuaries are benthic macroalgae and seagrasses. They often grow together in dense beds or meadows that carpet the seafloor. Macroalgae include multicellular species of green, red and brown algae that often live attached to the substrate by a holdfast. They tend to grow quickly and can tolerate relatively high nutrient levels, making them a threat to seagrasses and other benthic habitats in areas with poor water quality. In contrast, seagrasses are grass-like, vascular, flowering plants that are attached to the seafloor by extensive root systems. Seagrasses occur throughout the coastal areas of Florida, including protected bays and lagoons as well as deeper offshore waters on the continental shelf. Seagrasses have taken advantage of the broad, shallow shelf and clear water to produce two of the most extensive seagrass beds anywhere in continental North America.

Parameters

Percent Cover measures the fraction of an area of seafloor that is covered by SAV, usually estimated by evaluating multiple small areas of seafloor. Percent cover is often estimated for total SAV, individual types of vegetation (seagrass, attached algae, drift algae) and individual species.

Frequency of Occurrence was calculated as the number of times a taxon was observed in a year divided by the number of sampling events, multiplied by 100. Analysis is conducted at the quadrat level and is inclusive of all quadrats (i.e., quadrats evaluated using Braun-Blanquet, modified Braun-Blanquet, and percent cover."

Species

Turtle grass (*Thalassia testudinum*) is the largest of the Florida seagrasses, with longer, thicker blades and deeper root structures than any of the other seagrasses. It is considered a climax seagrass species.

Shoal grass (*Halodule wrightii*) is an early colonizer of vegetated areas and usually grows in water too shallow for other species except *widgeon grass*. It can often tolerate larger salinity ranges than other seagrass species. *Shoal grass* is characterized by thin, flat blades, that are narrower than *turtle grass* blades.

Manatee grass (Syringodium filiforme) is easily recognizable because its leaves are thin and cylindrical instead of the flat, ribbon-like form shared by many other seagrass species. The leaves can grow up to half a meter in length. Manatee grass is usually found in mixed seagrass beds or small, dense monospecific patches.

Widgeon grass (*Ruppia maritima*) grows in both fresh and salt water and is widely distributed throughout Florida's estuaries in less saline areas, particularly in inlets along the east coast. This species resembles *shoal grass* in certain environments but can be identified by the pointed tips of its leaves.

Three species of *Halophila spp.* are found in Florida - **Star grass** (*Halophila engelmannii*), **Paddle grass** (*Halophila decipiens*), and **Johnson's seagrass** (*Halophila johnsonii*). These are smaller, more fragile seagrasses than other Florida species and are considered ephemeral. They grow along a single long rhizome, with short blades. These species are not well-studied, although surveys are underway to define their ecological roles.

Notes

Star grass, Paddle grass, and Johnson's seagrass will be grouped together and listed as **Halophila spp.** in the following managed areas. This is because several surveys did not specify to the species level:

- Banana River Aquatic Preserve
- Indian River-Malabar to Vero Beach Aquatic Preserve
- Indian River-Vero Beach to Ft. Pierce Aquatic Preserve
- Jensen Beach to Jupiter Inlet Aquatic Preserve
- Loxahatchee River-Lake Worth Creek Aquatic Preserve
- Mosquito Lagoon Aquatic Preserve

- Biscayne Bay Aquatic Preserve
- Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary




Figure 32: Maps showing the temporal scope of SAV sampling sites within the boundaries of $Matlacha\ Pass\ Aquatic$ Preserve by Program name.

Sampling locations by Program:




Figure 33: Map showing SAV sampling sites within the boundaries of $Matlacha\ Pass\ Aquatic\ Preserve$. The point size reflects the number of samples at a given sampling site.

Table 37: Charlotte Harbor Seagrass Monitoring - Program $570\,$

N-Data	YearMin	YearMax	method	Sample Locations
1745	2000	2023	Braun Blanquet	4

Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve Halophila, unk. Paddle grass Total seagrass 100 75 50 25 Shoal grass Star grass Turtle grass 100 Number of Median percent cover observations 75 20 15 50 10 25 5 0 Widgeon grass Attached algae Drift algae 100 75 50 25 Year

Median percent cover

Figure 34: Trends in median percent cover for various seagrass species in Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve

Species Total seagrass Trend significante (alpha = 0.05) Total seagrass Trend significante

Median percent cover

Figure 35: Trends in median percent cover for various seagrass species in Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve - simplified

Table 38: Percent Cover Trend Analysis for Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve

CommonName	Trend Significance (0.05)	Period of Record	$LME ext{-}Intercept$	$LME ext{-}Slope$	p
Attached algae	Insufficient data to calculate trend	-	-	-	-
Drift algae	No significant trend	2000 - 2023	-5.162404	1.0462567	0.1327821
Shoal grass	No significant trend	2000 - 2023	19.515952	0.0923621	0.8534527
Paddle grass	Insufficient data to calculate trend	-	-	_	_
Star grass	No significant trend	2010 - 2023	2.642281	0.0681648	0.7808875
No grass in quadrat	Model did not fit the available data	2000 - 2023	-	_	_
Widgeon grass	Insufficient data to calculate trend	-	-	_	_
Turtle grass	No significant trend	2000 - 2023	24.626783	-0.3421928	0.3647778
Total seagrass	No significant trend	2004 - 2023	31.250702	-0.3715139	0.3147338
Halophila, unk.	Insufficient data to calculate trend	-	-	-	-

Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve 100 75 Species Occurrence frequency (%) Halophila, unk. Paddle grass Shoal grass 50 Star grass Turtle grass Widgeon grass Attached algae 25 0 + 70/20 + 70₇₆ 7000 ₹074 Year

Frequency of occurrence

Figure 36: Frequency of occurrence for various seagrass species in Matlacha Pass Aquatic Preserve

References

- 1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX. (2023).
- 2. Sanibel-Captiva Conservation Foundation (SCCF). River, Estuary and Coastal Observing Network. (2024).
- 3. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection (RCP); Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves. Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network. (2024).
- 4. Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program (CHNEP). Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network. (2024).
- 5. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Florida STORET / WIN. (2024).
- 6. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection (RCP); Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves. Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves Monthly Water Quality Program. (2024).
- 7. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC); Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute (FWRI). Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network. (2018).
- 8. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); Office of Research and Development. Environmental Monitoring Assessment Program. (2004).
- 9. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); Office of Water; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA); U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS); National Estuary Program (NEP); coastal states. National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment. (2021).
- 10. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC). Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program. (2022).