Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve

SEACAR Habitat Analyses

Last compiled on 08 January, 2025

Contents

Funding & Acknowledgements

Threshold Filtering

Threshold filters, following the guidance of Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (*FDEP*) Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration (*DEAR*) are used to exclude specific results values from the SEACAR Analysis. Based on the threshold filters, Quality Assurance / Quality Control (*QAQC*) Flags are inserted into the *SEACAR_QAQCFlagCode* and *SEACAR_QAQC_Description* columns of the export data. The *Include* column indicates whether the *QAQC* Flag will also indicate that data are excluded from analysis. No data are excluded from the data export, but the analysis scripts can use the *Include* column to exclude data (1 to include, 0 to exclude).

Table 1: Continuous Water Quality threshold values

Parameter Name	Units	Low Threshold	High Threshold
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	-0.000001	50
Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	%	-0.000001	500
Salinity	ppt	-0.000001	70
Turbidity	NTU	-0.000001	4000
Water Temperature	Degrees C	-5.000000	45
pH	None	2.000000	14

Table 2: Discrete Water Quality threshold values

Parameter Name	Units	Low Threshold	High Threshold
Ammonia, Un-ionized (NH3)	mg/L	-	-
Ammonium, Filtered (NH4)	mg/L	-	-
Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin	ug/L	-	-
Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin	ug/L	-	-
Colored Dissolved Organic Matter	PCU		

Parameter Name	Units	Low Threshold	High Threshold
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	-0.000001	25
Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	%	-0.000001	310
Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Matter	QSE	-	-
Light Extinction Coefficient	m^-1	-	-
NO2+3, Filtered	mg/L	-	-
Nitrate (NO3)	mg/L	-	-
Nitrite (NO2)	mg/L	-	-
Nitrogen, organic	mg/L	-	-
Phosphate, Filtered (PO4)	mg/L	-	-
Salinity	ppt	-0.000001	70
Secchi Depth	m	0.000001	50
Specific Conductivity	mS/cm	0.005000	100
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	-	-
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	-	-
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	-	-
Turbidity	NTU	-	-
Water Temperature	Degrees C	3.000000	40
pH	None	2.000000	13

Table 3: Quality Assurance Flags inserted based on threshold checks listed in Table 1 and 2

SEACAR QAQC Description	Include	SEACAR QAQCFlagCode
Exceeds maximum threshold	0	2Q
Below minimum threshold	0	4Q
Within threshold tolerance	1	6Q
No defined thresholds for this parameter	1	7Q

Value Qualifiers

Value qualifier codes included within the data are used to exclude certain results from the analysis. The data are retained in the data export files, but the analysis uses the *Include* column to filter the results.

STORET and WIN value qualifier codes

Value qualifier codes from *STORET* and *WIN* data are examined with the database and used to populate the *Include* column in data exports.

Table 4: Value Qualifier codes excluded from analysis

Qualifier Source	Value Qualifier	Include	MDL	Description
STORET-WIN	H	0	0	Value based on field kit determination; results may not be accurate
STORET-WIN	J	0	0	Estimated value
STORET-WIN	V	0	0	Analyte was detected at or above method detection limit
STORET-WIN	Y	0	0	Lab analysis from an improperly preserved sample; data may be inaccurate

Discrete Water Quality Value Qualifiers

The following value qualifiers are highlighted in the Discrete Water Quality section of this report. An exception is made for **Program 476** - *Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network* and data flagged with Value Qualifier **H** are included for this program only.

H - Value based on field kit determiniation; results may not be accurate. This code shall be used if a field screening test (e.g., field gas chromatograph data, immunoassay, or vendor-supplied field kit) was used to generate the value and the field kit or method has not been recognized by the Department as equivalent to laboratory methods.

I - The reported value is greater than or equal to the laboratory method detection limit but less than the laboratory practical quantitation limit.

Q - Sample held beyond the accepted holding time. This code shall be used if the value is derived from a sample that was prepared or analyzed after the approved holding time restrictions for sample preparation or analysis.

S - Secchi disk visible to bottom of waterbody. The value reported is the depth of the waterbody at the location of the Secchi disk measurement.

U - Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected. This symbol shall be used to indicate that the specified component was not detected. The value associated with the qualifier shall be the laboratory method detection limit. Unless requested by the client, less than the method detection limit values shall not be reported

Systemwide Monitoring Program (SWMP) value qualifier codes

Value qualifier codes from the *SWMP* continuous program are examined with the database and used to populate the *Include* column in data exports. *SWMP* Qualifier Codes are indicated by *QualifierSource=SWMP*.

Table 5: SWMP Value Qualifier codes

Qualifier Source	Value Qualifier	Include	Description
SWMP	-1	Yes	Optional parameter not collected
SWMP	-2	No	Missing data
SWMP	-3	No	Data rejected due to QA/QC
SWMP	-4	No	Outside low sensor range
SWMP	-5	No	Outside high sensor range
SWMP	0	Yes	Passed initial QA/QC checks
SWMP	1	No	Suspect data
SWMP	2	Yes	Reserved for future use
SWMP	3	Yes	Calculated data: non-vented depth/level sensor correction for changes in barometric pressure
SWMP	4	Yes	Historical: Pre-auto QA/QC
SWMP	5	Yes	Corrected data

Water Column

The water column habitat extends from the water's surface to the bottom sediments, and it's where fish, dolphins, crabs and people swim! So much life makes its home in the water column that the health of marine and coastal ecosystems, as well as human economies, depend on the condition of this vulnerable habitat. Local patterns of rainfall, temperature, winds and currents can rapidly change the condition of the water column, while global influences such as El Niño/La Niña, large-scale fluctuation in sea temperatures and climate change can have long-term effects. Inputs from the prosperity of our day-to-day lives including farming, mining and forestry, and emissions from power generation, automobiles and water treatment can also alter the health of the water column. Acting alone or together, each input can have complex and lasting effects on habitats and ecosystems.

SEACAR evaluates water column health with several essential parameters. These include nutrient surveys of nitrogen and phosphorus, andwater quality assessments of salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH, and water temperature. Water clarity is evaluated with Secchi depth, turbidity, levels of chlorophyll a, total suspended solids, and colored dissolved organic matter. Additionally, the richness of nekton is indicated by the abundance of free-swimming fishes and macroinvertebrates like crabs and shrimps.

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Analysis

Indicators must have a minimum of five to ten years, depending on the habitat, of data within the geographic range of the analysis to be included in the analysis. Ten years of data are required for discrete parameters, and five years of data are required for continuous parameters. If there are insufficient years of data, the number of years of data available will be noted and labeled as "insufficient data to conduct analysis". Further, for the preferred Seasonal Kendall-Tau test, there must be data from at least two months in common across at least two consecutive years within the RCP managed area being analyzed. Values that pass both of these tests will be included in the analysis and be labeled as *Use_In_Analysis* = **TRUE**. Any that fail either test will be excluded from the analyses and labeled as *Use_In_Analysis* = **FALSE**. The points for all Water Column plots displayed in this section are monthly averages. Trend significance will be denoted as "Significant Trend" (when $p < 0.05$), or "Non-significant Trend" (when $p >= 0.05$). Any parameters with insufficient data to perform Seasonal Kendall-Tau test will have their monthly averages plotted without a corresponding trend line.

Water Quality - Discrete

The following files were used in the discrete analysis:

- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Chlorophyll_a_corrected_for_pheophytin-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Chlorophyll_a_uncorrected_for_pheophytin-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Colored_dissolved_organic_matter_CDOM-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Dissolved_Oxygen-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Dissolved_Oxygen_Saturation-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_pH-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Salinity-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Secchi_Depth-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Total_Nitrogen-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Total_Phosphorus-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Total_Suspended_Solids_TSS-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Turbidity-2024-Dec-08.txt*
- *Combined_WQ_WC_NUT_Water_Temperature-2024-Dec-08.txt*

Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis

Figure 1: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin - Discrete

Table 6: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	1157	27	4.9	TRUE	-0.1396	0.0007	-0.0722	6.3594	11.1665	0.4294	-1

Figure 2: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 7: Programs contributing data for Chlorophyll a, Corrected for Pheophytin

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
476	706	2008	2024
5002	302	1998	2019
513	179	2001	2009

Program names:

476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin - Discrete Water Quality

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis

Figure 3: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin - Discrete

Table 8: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin

RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	861	19	3.8	TRUE	-0.1307	0.0313	-0.057	4.8112	6.6009	0.8304	-1

Figure 4: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 9: Programs contributing data for Chlorophyll a, Uncorrected for Pheophytin

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
476	605	1998	2024
5002	178	2017	2023
103	101	2005	2022
95	13	2003	2013
118	1	2005	2005

Program names:

95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[4]
103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[5]
118 - National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment[6]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

# Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete Water Quality

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis

Figure 5: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Dissolved Oxygen - Discrete

Table 10: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Dissolved Oxygen

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	13482	37	6.1	TRUE	-0.0707	0.0423	-0.01	6.492	22.2788	0.0223	-1

Figure 6: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Dissolved Oxygen. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 11: Programs contributing data for Dissolved Oxygen

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	9570	1995	2024
476	1015	1998	2024
479	865	2001	2016
69	861	1989	2022
95	647	1971	2018
513	407	2001	2009
103	231	2020	2022
118	5	2005	2020

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[7]
95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[4]
103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[5]
118 - National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment[6]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]

11

479 - Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring[8]
513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Discrete Water Quality

### Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis

Figure 7: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation - Discrete

Table 12: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	4443	27	87	TRUE	-0.1077	0.0095	-0.2005	87.0361	12.0539	0.3596	-1

Figure 8: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 13: Programs contributing data for Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	4274	1998	2024
476	212	2018	2024
95	4	2005	2018

Program names:

95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[4]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

pH - Discrete Water Quality

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis

Figure 9: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for pH - Discrete

Table 14: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for pH

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	11903	37	8	TRUE	-0.1125	0.0018	-0.0023	8.0851	6.021	0.872	-1

Figure 10: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for pH. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 15: Programs contributing data for pH

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	7952	1995	2024
476	1030	1998	2024
479	869	2001	2016
69	859	1989	2022
95	626	1955	2018
513	407	2001	2009
103	232	2020	2022

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[7]
95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[4]
103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
479 - Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring[8]

15

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Salinity - Discrete Water Quality

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis

Figure 11: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Salinity - Discrete

Table 16: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Salinity

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	10941	57	34	TRUE	-0.0875	0.0036	-0.0167	34.8011	11.6448	0.3909	-1

Figure 12: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Salinity. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 17: Programs contributing data for Salinity

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	6231	1995	2024
95	1522	1954	2018
476	1041	1998	2024
69	872	1989	2022
479	870	2001	2016
513	407	2001	2009
118	4	2020	2020

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[7]
95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[4]
118 - National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment[6]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
479 - Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring[8]

17

Secchi Depth - Discrete Water Quality

### Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis

Figure 13: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Secchi Depth - Discrete

Table 18: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Secchi Depth

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
Surface	3046	30	1.3	TRUE	0.2615	0	0.0145	1.1195	6.2257	0.8579	1

Figure 14: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Secchi Depth. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 19: Programs contributing data for Secchi Depth

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
69	829	1995	2022
5002	801	2007	2024
476	791	1998	2024
479	445	2001	2016
103	102	2020	2022
513	80	2001	2009

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[7]

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[5]

476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]

479 - Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring[8]

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[2]

5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Total Nitrogen - Discrete Water Quality

Total Nitrogen Calculation:

The logic for calculated Total Nitrogen was provided by Kevin O'Donnell and colleagues at FDEP (with the help of Jay Silvanima, Watershed Monitoring Section). The following logic is used, in this order, based on the availability of specific nitrogen components.

1) TN = TKN + NO3O2;
2) TN = TKN + NO3 + NO2;
3) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO3O2;
4) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO2 + NO3;
5) TN = TKN + NO3;
6) TN = ORGN + NH4 + NO3;

Additional Information:

- Rules for use of sample fraction:
  - Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) report that if both "Total" and "Dissolved" components are reported, only "Total" is used. If the total is not reported, then the dissolved components are used as a best available replacement.
  - Total nitrogen calculations are done using nitrogen components with the same sample fraction, nitrogen components with mixed total/dissolved sample fractions are not used. In other words, total nitrogen can be calculated when TKN and NO3O2 are both total sample fractions, or when both are dissolved sample fractions. *Future calculations of total nitrogen values may be based on components with mixed sample fractions.*
- Values inserted into data:
  - ParameterName = "Total Nitrogen"
  - SEACAR_QAQCFlagCode = "1Q"
  - SEACAR_QAQC_Description = "SEACAR Calculated"

Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis

Figure 15: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Total Nitrogen - Discrete

Table 20: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Nitrogen

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	2930	29	0.555	TRUE	0.0091	0.824	0.0002	0.5617	6.7339	0.8202	0

Figure 16: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Total Nitrogen. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 21: Programs contributing data for Total Nitrogen

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	1649	1995	2024
476	947	1998	2024
513	181	2001	2009
479	152	2007	2016
103	4	2005	2005

Program names:

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
479 - Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring[8]
513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Total Phosphorus - Discrete Water Quality

**Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis**

Figure 17: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Total Phosphorus - Discrete

Table 22: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Phosphorus

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	3093	29	0.088	TRUE	-0.5406	0	-0.0048	0.1798	3.3759	0.9848	-1

Figure 18: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Total Phosphorus. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 23: Programs contributing data for Total Phosphorus

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	1640	1995	2024
476	1059	1998	2024
513	184	2001	2009
479	152	2007	2016
103	124	2005	2022

Program names:

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
479 - Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring[8]
513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Total Suspended Solids - Discrete Water Quality

**Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis**

Figure 19: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Total Suspended Solids - Discrete

Table 24: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Total Suspended Solids

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	1165	27	10	TRUE	0.228	0	0.2427	6.533	5.9111	0.8792	1

Figure 20: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Total Suspended Solids. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 25: Programs contributing data for Total Suspended Solids

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	849	1995	2023
513	172	2001	2009
479	152	2007	2016
103	4	2020	2020

Program names:

103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[5]
479 - Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring[8]
513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Turbidity - Discrete Water Quality

**Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis**

Figure 21: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Turbidity - Discrete

Table 26: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Turbidity

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	6001	30	2.6	TRUE	0.0474	0.2109	0.0104	2.9392	9.4961	0.5762	0

Figure 22: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Turbidity. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 27: Programs contributing data for Turbidity

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	4532	1995	2024
476	1096	1998	2024
479	278	2001	2016
513	185	2001	2009
103	123	2005	2022
95	14	2003	2004

Program names:

95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[4]
103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
479 - Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring[8]
513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Water Temperature - Discrete Water Quality

## Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis

Figure 23: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Results for Water Temperature - Discrete

Table 28: Seasonal Kendall-Tau Trend Analysis for Water Temperature

| RelativeDepth	N-Data	N-Years	Median	Independent	tau	p	SennSlope	SennIntercept	ChiSquared	pChiSquared	Trend
All	14668	58	26.4	TRUE	0.106	0.0006	0.0133	24.7379	16.6482	0.1187	1

Figure 24: Map showing location of Discrete sampling sites for Water Temperature. The bubble size on the maps below reflect the amount of data available at each sampling site.

Table 29: Programs contributing data for Water Temperature

ProgramID	N_Data	YearMin	YearMax
5002	9911	1995	2024
95	1331	1954	2018
476	1047	1998	2024
69	877	1989	2022
479	865	2001	2016
513	407	2001	2009
103	232	2020	2022

Program names:

69 - Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program[7]
95 - Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network[4]
103 - EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX[5]
476 - Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network[1]
479 - Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring[8]

513 - Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network[2]
5002 - Florida STORET / WIN[3]

Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

The data file used is: **All_SAV_Parameters-2024-Dec-08.txt**

Submerged aquatic vegetation (*SAV*) refers to plants and plant-like macroalgae species that live entirely underwater. The two primary categories of *SAV* inhabiting Florida estuaries are *benthic macroalgae* and *seagrasses*. They often grow together in dense beds or meadows that carpet the seafloor. *Macroalgae* include multicellular species of green, red and brown algae that often live attached to the substrate by a holdfast. They tend to grow quickly and can tolerate relatively high nutrient levels, making them a threat to seagrasses and other benthic habitats in areas with poor water quality. In contrast, *seagrasses* are grass-like, vascular, flowering plants that are attached to the seafloor by extensive root systems. *Seagrasses* occur throughout the coastal areas of Florida, including protected bays and lagoons as well as deeper offshore waters on the continental shelf. *Seagrasses* have taken advantage of the broad, shallow shelf and clear water to produce two of the most extensive seagrass beds anywhere in continental North America.

Parameters

Percent Cover measures the fraction of an area of seafloor that is covered by SAV, usually estimated by evaluating multiple small areas of seafloor. Percent cover is often estimated for total SAV, individual types of vegetation (seagrass, attached algae, drift algae) and individual species.

Frequency of Occurrence was calculated as the number of times a taxon was observed in a year divided by the number of sampling events, multiplied by 100. Analysis is conducted at the quadrat level and is inclusive of all quadrats (i.e., quadrats evaluated using Braun-Blanquet, modified Braun-Blanquet, and percent cover."

Species

Turtle grass (*Thalassia testudinum*) is the largest of the Florida seagrasses, with longer, thicker blades and deeper root structures than any of the other seagrasses. It is considered a climax seagrass species.

Shoal grass (*Halodule wrightii*) is an early colonizer of vegetated areas and usually grows in water too shallow for other species except *widgeon grass*. It can often tolerate larger salinity ranges than other seagrass species. *Shoal grass* is characterized by thin, flat blades, that are narrower than *turtle grass* blades.

Manatee grass (*Syringodium filiforme*) is easily recognizable because its leaves are thin and cylindrical instead of the flat, ribbon-like form shared by many other seagrass species. The leaves can grow up to half a meter in length. *Manatee grass* is usually found in mixed seagrass beds or small, dense monospecific patches.

Widgeon grass (*Ruppia maritima*) grows in both fresh and salt water and is widely distributed throughout Florida's estuaries in less saline areas, particularly in inlets along the east coast. This species resembles *shoal grass* in certain environments but can be identified by the pointed tips of its leaves.

Three species of *Halophila spp.* are found in Florida - **Star grass** (*Halophila engelmannii*), **Paddle grass** (*Halophila decipiens*), and **Johnson's seagrass** (*Halophila johnsonii*). These are smaller, more fragile seagrasses than other Florida species and are considered ephemeral. They grow along a single long rhizome, with short blades. These species are not well-studied, although surveys are underway to define their ecological roles.

Notes

Star grass, *Paddle grass*, and *Johnson's seagrass* will be grouped together and listed as **Halophila spp.** in the following managed areas. This is because several surveys did not specify to the species level:

- Banana River Aquatic Preserve
- Indian River-Malabar to Vero Beach Aquatic Preserve
- Indian River-Vero Beach to Ft. Pierce Aquatic Preserve
- Jensen Beach to Jupiter Inlet Aquatic Preserve
- Loxahatchee River-Lake Worth Creek Aquatic Preserve
- Mosquito Lagoon Aquatic Preserve

- Biscayne Bay Aquatic Preserve

- Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary

Figure 25: Maps showing the temporal scope of SAV sampling sites within the boundaries of *Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve* by Program name.

**Sampling locations by Program:**

Figure 26: Map showing SAV sampling sites within the boundaries of *Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve*. The point size reflects the number of samples at a given sampling site.

Table 30: Charlotte Harbor Seagrass Monitoring - Program 570

N-Data	YearMin	YearMax	method	Sample Locations
2113	1998	2023	Braun Blanquet	6

Table 31: Sarasota County Seagrass Monitoring - Program 568

| N-Data	YearMin	YearMax	method	Sample Locations
716	2007	2022	Percent Cover	10

Figure 27: Trends in median percent cover for various seagrass species in Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve

Figure 28: Trends in median percent cover for various seagrass species in Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve - simplified

Table 32: Percent Cover Trend Analysis for Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve

| CommonName	Trend Significance (0.05)	Period of Record	LME-Intercept	LME-Slope	p
Attached algae	No significant trend	1999 - 2023	-1.567729	0.4931872	0.2420954
Drift algae	Significantly decreasing trend	1999 - 2023	22.645508	-0.5527838	0.0241947
Shoal grass	No significant trend	1998 - 2023	29.639982	0.1012796	0.5726480
No grass in quadrat	Model did not fit the available data	1998 - 2023	-	-	-
Manatee grass	No significant trend	1998 - 2023	7.418206	-0.0303886	0.9119064
Turtle grass	No significant trend	1998 - 2023	8.235817	0.1273775	0.7928832
Total seagrass	No significant trend	1998 - 2023	30.282107	0.3320683	0.3907885

Figure 29: Frequency of occurrence for various seagrass species in Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve

Nekton

The data file used is: **All_NEKTON_Parameters-2024-Dec-17.txt**

Figure 30: Figure for Nekton Species Richness in Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve

Table 33: Nekton Species Richness

Gear Type	Sample Count	Number of Years	Period of Record	Median N of Taxa	Mean N of Taxa
Trawl (6.1)	3	1	2001 - 2001	1.96	1.89

Oyster

The data file used is: **All_OYSTER_Parameters-2024-Dec-08.txt**

Density
Natural

Figure 31: Figure for Oyster Density in Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve

Table 34: Model results for Oyster Density - Natural

| Shell Type	Habitat Type	Trend Status	Estimate	Standard Error	Credible Interval
Live Oyster Shells	Natural	Significantly decreasing trend	-0.05	0.01	-0.06 to -0.03

Percent Live

Natural

Figure 32: Figure for Oyster Percent Live in Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve

Table 35: Model results for Oyster Percent Live - Natural

| Shell Type	Habitat Type	Trend Status	Estimate	Standard Error	Credible Interval
Live Oyster Shells	Natural	No significant change	0.01	0.01	-0.02 to 0.04
Live Oyster Shells	Natural	No significant change	0.01	0.01	-0.02 to 0.04

Shell Height

Natural

Figure 33: Figure for Oyster Shell Height in Lemon Bay Aquatic Preserve

Table 36: Model results for Oyster Shell Height - Natural

Shell Type	Habitat Type	Trend Status	Estimate	Standard Error	Credible Interval
Dead Oyster Shells	Natural	No significant change	0.06	0.27	-0.44 to 0.62
Dead Oyster Shells	Natural	-	-	-	NA to NA
Dead Oyster Shells	Natural	-	-	-	NA to NA
Live Oyster Shells	Natural	-	-	-	NA to NA
Live Oyster Shells	Natural	-	-	-	NA to NA

References

1. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection (RCP); Charlotte Harbor Aquatic Preserves. Charlotte Harbor Estuaries Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Network. (2024).

2. Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program (CHNEP). Coastal Charlotte Harbor Monitoring Network. (2024).

3. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Florida STORET / WIN. (2024).

4. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC); Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute (FWRI). Harmful Algal Bloom Marine Observation Network. (2018).

5. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). EPA STOrage and RETrieval Data Warehouse (STORET)/WQX. (2023).

6. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); Office of Water; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA); U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS); National Estuary Program (NEP); coastal states. National Aquatic Resource Surveys, National Coastal Condition Assessment. (2021).

7. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC). Fisheries-Independent Monitoring (FIM) Program. (2022).

8. Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD). Southwest Florida Water Management District - Water Quality Monitoring. (2024).